## **Behavior Analysis in Crowded Environments**

Xiaogang Wang Department of Electronic Engineering The Chinese University of Hong Kong June 25, 2011



## **Behavior Analysis in Sparse Scenes**

colors of object bounding boxes indicate respective IDs (groups are in white)



the two people in the cyan bounding-box are always together, so they are together detected as one object

Zelnik-Manor & Irani CVPR'04





## **Crowded Environments**



## **Crowded Environments**



## Outline

• Introduction

Why is behavior analysis in crowded environment interesting?Major challenges

- Behavior analysis under hierarchical Bayesian models
  - Based local motions
  - Based noisy tracklets
- Other works
- Conclusions and future work

## Crowd

"The crowd, an agglomeration of people, presents new characteristics very different from those of the individuals composing it, the sentiments and ideas of all the persons in the gathering take one and the same direction, and their conscious personality vanishes." -- by Le Bon (1841~1931) in "The Crowd: A Study of the Popular Mind"



# Why is behavior analysis in crowded environment interesting?

• Many places of security interest are crowded



Train station



Airport



Shopping mall

Street intersection

- Crowd control
- Providing guidelines for planning and designing crowded areas

# Why is behavior analysis in crowded environment interesting?

- The study of pedestrian crowds is an interesting subject of social research
  - Pedestrian behaviors in crowd present new characteristics than individual personalities
  - Self-organization of collective behavior patterns due to nonlinear interactions among pedestrians
  - Variables of pedestrian motions are measurable: leading to a deep insight of other social processes, such opinion formation



# Why is behavior analysis in crowded environment interesting?

- The self-organization phenomena are observed in other fields
  - At medium and high pedestrian densities, the motion of pedestrians shows striking analogies with the motion of gases and fluids [Helbing *Statistical Mechanics*'97]
  - ➤ The self-organization of collective behaviors are also observed in animal groups [Moussaid et al. *Topics in Cognitive Science*'09]



## Interdisciplinary subject

- Statistical physics: understanding the fundamental mechanism of forming collective behaviors from interactions of individuals
- Computer graphics: simulating crowd behaviors
- Computer vision:
  - Learning and detecting collective motion patterns
  - Temporally segmenting the video sequences into different global crowd behaviors
  - Detecting abnormal behaviors

## Formation of collective motion

- Externally planned or organized
- Self-organization from nonlinear interactions of pedestrians





# Major challenges

- Crowded: it is difficult to do detection and tracking due to frequent occlusions and scene clutters
- Complex: many different types of behaviors happen together





Crowded and complex

Crowded but relatively simple

# Outline

• Introduction

Why is behavior analysis in crowded environment interesting?Major challenges

- Behavior analysis under hierarchical Bayesian models
  - Based local motions
  - Based noisy tracklets
- Other works
- Conclusions

X. Wang, X. Ma, and E. Grimson, "Unsupervised Activity Perception in Crowded and Complicated Scenes Using Hierarchical Bayesian Models," *IEEE Trans. on PAMI*, Vol. 31, 539-555, 2009.

X. Wang, X. Ma, and E. Grimson, "Unsupervised Activity Perception by Hierarchical Bayesian Models," *CVPR 2007*.

## Learning motion patterns without tracking

- Motion patterns are the pathways of moving objects
- Learning motions patterns from the temporal co-occurrence of moving pixels



## Our tasks

• Cluster moving pixels into atomic activities and segment a video sequence into global behaviors in crowded scenes



Example of data



Model of an atomic activity



#### Model of an global behavior

## Our tasks

• Cluster moving pixels into atomic activities and segment a video sequence into global behaviors in crowded scenes



Motion segmentation



Video segmentation



Abnormality detection

Interaction query

### High level picture of our approach

Motion Features (a)

Atomic activities modeled as distributions over the feature codebook (b)

> Global behaviors modeled as distributions over atomic activities (c)



### **Parametric hierarchical Bayesian model**



### Advantages of this hierarchical Bayesian model

- More compact representation of video clips on the top of atomic activities
  - Number of atomic activity categories (29) versus size of feature codebook (13,824)

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

Cluster video clips directly using motion feature vectors without atomic activities

#### Advantages of this hierarchical Bayesian model (cont)

• Priors of global behaviors help to cluster moving pixels

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

Cluster moving pixels without modeling interactions Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) [Blei et al. *JASA'03*]

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

Two atomic models have ambiguity in yellow circle area

Our model

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

Related to the nested HDP [Rodriguez et al. 2006], Transformed HDP [Sudderth et. al IJCV'07], HDP [Teh JASA'04]

#### Learned atomic activities from a traffic scene

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Global behavior I: green light for south/north traffic**

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Top six atomic activities

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

vehicles northbound

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

vehicles incoming northbound

![](_page_24_Picture_8.jpeg)

vehicles northbound

![](_page_24_Picture_10.jpeg)

vehicles incoming southbound

![](_page_24_Picture_12.jpeg)

vehicles southbound

![](_page_24_Picture_14.jpeg)

vehicles outgoing eastbound

![](_page_24_Picture_16.jpeg)

#### Global behavior II: green light for east/west traffic

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Top six atomic activities

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

vehicles incoming westbound

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

vehicles incoming eastbound

![](_page_25_Picture_8.jpeg)

vehicles outgoing westbound

![](_page_25_Picture_10.jpeg)

vehicles outgoing eastbound

![](_page_25_Picture_12.jpeg)

vehicles outgoing southbound

![](_page_25_Picture_14.jpeg)

pedestrians westbound

#### Global behavior III: left turn signal for east/west traffic

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Top six atomic activities

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

vehicles turning left eastbound

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

vehicles incoming eastbound

![](_page_26_Picture_8.jpeg)

vehicles outgoing northbound

![](_page_26_Picture_10.jpeg)

vehicles outgoing eastbound

![](_page_26_Picture_12.jpeg)

vehicles outgoing northbound

![](_page_26_Picture_14.jpeg)

vehicles stopping southbound

![](_page_26_Picture_16.jpeg)

#### Global behavior IV: walk sign

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Top six atomic activities

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

pedestrians incoming eastbound

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

pedestrians westbound

![](_page_27_Picture_8.jpeg)

pedestrians outgoing eastbound

![](_page_27_Picture_10.jpeg)

vehicles stopping

![](_page_27_Picture_12.jpeg)

pedestrians westbound

![](_page_27_Picture_14.jpeg)

vehicles stopping

![](_page_27_Picture_16.jpeg)

#### **Global behavior V: northbound right turns**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Top two atomic activities

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

vehicles incoming northbound

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

vehicles outgoing eastbound

![](_page_28_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **Temporal video segmentation**

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

green light for east/west traffic

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

left turn signal for east/west traffic

![](_page_29_Picture_7.jpeg)

walk sign

northbound right turns

#### Confusion matrix of video segmentation

	149	0	2	0	0
	8	74	4	2	11
Manual label	10	3	60	1	2
	4	0	2	88	11
	4	2	6	5	92

Clustering result

• The average accuracy is **85.74%** using our approach.

- The average accuracy is 65.6% when modeling atomic activities and global behaviors in two separate steps.
- The approaches of using a motion feature vector to represent a video clip perform poorly on this data.

## Abnormality detection results

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

Top four abnormal video clips

#### **Interaction query**

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Top four retrieved jay-walking examples

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Precision and recall of jay-walking retrieval

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

There are totally 18 instances, all found among the top 37 video clips out of 540 video clips.

#### **Train Station Scene**

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

Atomic activities

#### A scene where it fails...

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Learned models of anatomic activities

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Outline

• Introduction

Why is behavior analysis in crowded environment interesting?Major challenges

- Behavior analysis under hierarchical Bayesian models
  - Based local motions
  - Based noisy tracklets
- Other works
- Conclusions

B. Zhou, X. Wang, and X. Tang, "Random Field Topic for Semantic Region Analysis," CVPR 2011.

## Tracklets

• Fragments of trajectories obtained by weak trackers. They are short and very noisy.

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Statistics of 47,866 Tracklets

- The size of the scene is 1080 x 1920
- Sources: regions where objects appear
- Sinks: regions where objects disappear

![](_page_40_Figure_4.jpeg)

## **Directly Clustering Tracklets**

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

Spectral clustering + Hausdorff distance: X. Wang et al ECCV'06

## Random Field Topic Models

- MRF models the dependency between tracklets based on their spatial and temporal consistency and velocity similarity
- Model the sources and sinks

B. Zhou, X. Wang, and X. Tang, "Random Field Topic for Semantic Region Analysis," *CVPR* 2011.

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Learned Models of Paths

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Tracklet Clustering Results**

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_4.jpeg)

## **Potential Applications**

• Estimating the transition probabilities and traffic flows between sources and sinks

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Predicting the behaviors of pedestrians

![](_page_46_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Outline

• Introduction

Why is behavior analysis in crowded environment interesting?Major challenges

- Behavior analysis under hierarchical Bayesian models
  - Based local motions
  - Based noisy tracklets
- Other works
- Conclusions

## **Other Works**

- Crowd flow segmentation from Lagrangian coherent structures
  - S. Ali and M. Shah, "A Lagrangian particle dynamic approach for crowd flow segmentation and stability analysis," CVPR 2007

![](_page_48_Figure_3.jpeg)

Flow field segmentation using a Lie algebraic approach
D. Lin, J. Fisher, E. Grimson, "Learning visual flows: A Lie algebraic approach," CVPR 2009.

![](_page_48_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **Other Works**

- Abnormal crowd behavior detection using social force model
  Mehran et al. CVPR'09
- Modeling social behavior for multitarget tracking
  Pellegrini et al. ICCV'09
- Crowd behavior analysis across multiple camera views
  Loy et al. CVPR 2009

![](_page_49_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Conclusions

- Behavior analysis in crowded environments receives a lot attentions from different fields
- It is challenging problem from the computer vision point of view
- Propose an nonparametric hierarchical Bayesian model to learn behavior models in crowded environments from local motions
- It models single-agent activities, multi-agent interactions and global behaviors at different hierarchical levels
- Propose a random field topic model to learn behavior models from tracklets

## Future Work

- Integrating the macroscopic models and the microscopic models
- Modeling the dynamic variations of the crowd behaviors
- Predicting the behaviors of individuals and the crowds
- Using the behavior models to improve detection and tracking
  - M. Wang and X. Wang, "Automatic Adaptation of a Generic Pedestrian Detector to a Specific Traffic Scene," CVPR 2011

![](_page_51_Picture_6.jpeg)

Atomic activities related to vehicles

![](_page_51_Picture_8.jpeg)

Atomic activities related to pedestrians

![](_page_51_Picture_10.jpeg)

1st IEEE Workshop on Modeling, Simulation and Visual Analysis of Large Crowds

in conjunction with 13th International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV) 6-13 November, 2011, Barcelona, Spain

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Thank you!